UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARVIN GABRIEL HOLMES,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ § 8	
versus	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:23-CV-255
ZENA STEPHENS, et al.,	8 8 8	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Marvin Gabriel Holmes, a prisoner confined at the Jefferson County Correctional Facility, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Zena Stephens, Bill Stephens, Ken Paxton, and Tekeisha Marie Martin.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Christine L. Stetson, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge has submitted a Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. The magistrate judge recommends dismissing the action without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record, pleadings, and all available evidence. Plaintiff filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation.

The court has conducted a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). After careful consideration, the court concludes the objections are without merit. Because he has accumulated three "strikes," Plaintiff is barred

from proceeding in forma pauperis unless he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury at

the time the action is filed. Plaintiff's allegations concerning a "remote neuro monitoring" device

that was inserted into his tooth filling in 2015 are insufficient to demonstrate that he was in

imminent danger when he filed this action. Further, Plaintiff has not paid the \$402.00 filing fee.

Accordingly, this action will be dismissed.

ORDER

Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections (#6 and #8) are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact

and conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct, and the report of the magistrate judge

(#4) is ADOPTED. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the

magistrate judge's recommendation.

SIGNED at Beaumont, Texas, this 6th day of September, 2023.

MARCIA A. CRONE

Maria a. Crone

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE